

Manuscript Number: IJP-D-16-02261R1

Title: Apoferritin nanocage as streptomycin drug reservoir: technological optimization of a new drug delivery system

Article Type: Research Paper

Section/Category: Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology

Keywords: Apoferritin, encapsulation efficiency, nanocage, purification process

Corresponding Author: Professor Giovanni Tosi, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Modena and Reggio Emilia

First Author: Barbara Ruozi, Associate Professor

Order of Authors: Barbara Ruozi, Associate Professor; Patrizia Veratti, PhD; Maria Angela Vandelli, Full Professor; Andrea Tombesi, PhD; Massimo Tonelli, PhD; Flavio Forni, Full Professor; Francesca Pederzoli, PhD; Giovanni Tosi, PhD

Abstract: The aim of this study is to formulate and characterize streptomycin-loaded apoferritin nanoparticles (ApoStrep NPs) for their potential therapeutic use in bacterial resistant infections (i.e. tuberculosis). ApoStrep NPs were prepared by disassembly/reassembly process via pH method and changing apoferritin/drug molar ratio, purified by dialyses process also associated with gel filtration chromatography and characterized in their chemico-physical and technological parameters as yield, size distribution, polydispersity, morphology, internal structure, zeta potential and loading efficacy. The results showed that spherical reproducible NPs could be obtained by using apoferritin/drug molar ratio lower than 1:25 and purification based on the combination of dialysis and gel filtration chromatography. Photon correlation spectroscopy, Uv-visible detection and electron microscopy showed the maintenance of the native apoferritin chemico-physical properties and structure. When formulated with apoferritin/drug 1:10 and 1:25 molar ratio, ApoStrep NPs showed remarkable encapsulation efficacy (35% and 28%, respectively) along with kinetic profile of drug delivery, approximately 15% at 37 °C in 72h, as evidenced by "in vitro" release experiments.

Modena, December 12th 2016

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed our revised paper entitled **“Apoferitin nanocage as streptomycin drug reservoir: technological optimization of a new drug delivery system ”**

We all hope that this paper version will answer to referee suggestions and we are confident that this version is now improved.

Please find uploaded the file describing the referee suggestions and in red highlighted our answer.

Within the revised text, we also highlighted any changes in red.

I thank you very much for your attention and please receive my warmest regards,

Giovanni Tosi

Associate Professor

Department of Life Sciences

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia

Italy

IJP AUTHOR CHECKLIST

Dear Author,

It frequently happens that on receipt of an article for publication, we find that certain elements of the manuscript, or related information, is missing. This is regrettable of course since it means there will be a delay in processing the article while we obtain the missing details.

In order to avoid such delays in the publication of your article, if accepted, could you please run through the list of items below and make sure you have completed the items.

Overall Manuscript Details

- Is this the final revised version? X
- Are all text pages present? X
- Are the corresponding author’s postal address, telephone and fax numbers complete on the manuscript? X
- **Have you provided the corresponding author’s e-mail address?** X
- **Manuscript type – please check one of the following:**
 - Full-length article X
 - Review article ☐
 - Rapid Communication ☐
 - Note ☐
 - Letter to the Editor ☐
 - Other ☐
- **Manuscript section – paper to be published in:**
 - Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology section X
 - Personalised Medicine section ☐

Manuscript elements

- Short summary/abstract enclosed? X
- 3-6 Keywords enclosed? X
- Complete reference list enclosed? X
- Is the reference list in the correct journal style? X
- Are all references cited in the text present in the reference list? X
- Are all **original** figures cited in the text enclosed? X
 - Electronic artwork format? -----
- Are figure legends supplied? X
- Are all figures numbered and orientation provided? X
- Are any figures to be printed in colour? ☐
 - If yes, please list which figures here:-----
- If applicable, are you prepared to pay for reproduction in colour? ☐
- Are all tables cited in the text supplied? X

General

- Can you accept pdf proofs sent via e-mail? X

Referee response

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

The paper by Ruozi et al deal with formulation and characterization of streptomycin-loaded apoferritin nanoparticles. The paper is well executed and written. The data could be useful in translational application in particular that of microbiology and infective diseases. The findings should be of great interest to International Journal of Pharmaceutics readership. This is a solid piece of work that should be acceptable in the present form.

Reviewer #2: The presented manuscript by Ruozi et al., with the title "Apoferritin nanocage as streptomycin drug reservoir: technological optimization of a new drug drug delivery systems" deals with the characterisation of novel apo-transferrin protein based particles, loaded with streptomycin, and explores optimal conditions for formulation and production. The authors test several apo-ferritin/streptomycin ratios and investigate features such as, among others, encapsulation efficacy, release kinetics, morphology, and size distribution. The study covers an interesting topic, the experiments are well designed and performed and the manuscript is well written. I therefore have only minor points that should be addressed before publication.

Specific comments:

1. The Material and Methods sections could benefit from more details and should be revised. Some examples: under 2. the authors state "After gently pipetting, apoferritin solution, monitored in term of size, surface charge and morphology (data not shown), was ..." How was this done exactly? Further, "...water, previously acidified to pH 2, ..." How was this done?

Thanks, we corrected and ameliorated.

It would help the reader to (maybe in a table) provide the following information: In 3b, 1mL final apo-ferritin solution is obtained and further characterised. For the experiments used.

What is the concentration (molarity) of streptomycin in the solution, what is the concentration encapsulated into nanocages, what is the concentration of apo-ferritin in the solution and the concentration of formed nanocages. The information is present in the manuscript but should be summarized.

We corrected table I, in which there are yet information on encapsulation efficiency. Streptomycin in solution is concentrated at 0.05mg/mL. The Streptomycin concentration is expressed on content, as reported in table 1. So that, depending on the sample, as below:

Samples	mg Strep/100 mg of Apo
ApoStrep 1:10-D	0.67±0.01
ApoStrep 1:10-	0.53±0.01

DG	
ApoStrep 1:25-D	1.27±0.02
ApoStrep 1:25-DG	1.07±0.01

We added a table with the requested information.

Sample Name	Streptomycin concentration (mg/mL)	Apo ferritin amount (mg/mL)
Streptomycin free solution	0.05mg/mL	\
Apo ferritin solution	\	15 mg/mL
ApoStrep 1:10-DG	See table I	10,3 (± 0,2) mg/mL
ApoStrep 1:25-DG	See table I	10,1 (± 0,3) mg/mL
ApoStrep 1:50-DG	See table I	2,9 (± 0,2) mg/mL

Table II: concentration of Apoferritin and Streptomycin. For Streptomycin content, please see Table I.

2. The statistical evaluation needs a revision. Pairwise comparisons using t-test as stated in the Methods section is not appropriate. The evaluation should be done with ANOVA and in case of significant differences a post-hoc analysis such as Bonferroni.

We repeated the statistical analysis using the suggested methods.

3. In the results section, regarding the data shown in Figure 4 (page 136) the authors state a significant change in Zeta potential values. However, in the figure, no significance is indicated.

Yes, we missed to insert the significance which is now inserted.

4. In figure 3, it is hard to understand what comparisons are significant and marked by the "***". Comparisons have to be done by ANOVA (see above) and significances labeled newly according to the new results.

We tried to allow a more easy reading of significance in the new version of the figure.

5. For the data presented in figure 7, can the authors extrapolate, when a full release would be achieved or perform the measurements for longer periods as the complexes seem quite stable.

Yes, we agree with the referee, we can extrapolate the timing of a full release, and also we tested over time the release. We got a very a long period of release, and we agree with the referee, the complexes are quite stable and remain, as they are or slowing releasing Streptomycin for a long period.

But since it is a formulative study, we are also aware that in vivo or even in vitro, this release would be affected by many factors which could strongly impact on the release (pH, enzymes, proteins) and therefore we decided to stop at 72 hrs only to show this kind of stability within 3 days.

6. The conclusion section is rather short. I suggest to add a paragraph discussing the usability of the novel system in clinics. For example, what is the concentration of streptomycin used for treatment in the clinics and can the concentration be reached by apo-ferritin nanocage delivery? How many injections are necessary? Does the slow release rate present an advantage or disadvantage? What is the amount of apo-ferritin nanocages necessary for a treatment of a patient and is the production feasible in this amount?

We agree, we added a paragraph for the application of these system in clinics.

Application of Apoferritin nanocages

Despite extensive promises, much work is needed before clinical translation of APO as nanocages. As example, the study of the architecture and the structure of loaded APO are still at early stages and this situation represents the major limitation in translatability of APO to become DDS. This fact is principally due to unclear protocols for APO formulation and unclear chemico-physical, morphological and technological characterization and it frequently generates conflicting outputs. Regarding Streptomycin application, the dose normally used in therapy is very high, and it will be impossible to reach such dose with APO nanocages, but on the other hand these systems are not designed for this scope. In fact, the possibility of exploiting nanocages able to be "safe" when circulating in the bloodstream, to slowly release the loaded drug and in a controlled manner and most of all to be engineered for a selective delivery should be considered as an added value and the real potential of these systems. In this work, we also tried to answer to the general lack of characterization of these system, as we firstly analyzed the possibility of formulating an active agent different from the "commonly used" agents for loading into APO (namely anticancer drugs). Moreover, we moved on analyzing the nature and narrow size of APO complex using analytical technique commonly used to characterize synthetic DDS as liposomes or nanoparticles (TEM, AFM, SEM, PCS, DSC etc) and applying these technologies to APO cages characterization. Aiming to improve the chances of translatability of APO as drug delivery systems, another point, which is very hard to be investigated, is related to the drug release and in particular to the changes in morphology and architecture of APO cage during and after the release of the drugs. To our knowledge, the technologies for this kind of characterization are still lacking, even if this focus strongly affects the biocompatibility of APO and the efficacy of treatment promoted by the released drug. A huge work should be done to better investigate on these aspects that need to be considered with a major interest.

7. Carefully revise the manuscript for spelling and grammar. There are several errors and typos to be found throughout the manuscript. Fro example:

- I suggest to change the title to "Apoferritin nanocage as streptomycin drug reservoir: technological optimization of a new drug delivery system"

We agree with the referee and changed the title accordingly.

- page 130, Introduction: please make sure to have no space between a number and % (75%)

Done.


-page 131, l. 1/2 ... to efficiently load drugs into ...

corrected



- page 136, 3rd paragraph "slightly"

corrected

- page 138 "be set to stabilize and modulate drug release, ..."
corrected




Assembly state and structure



Chemico-physical and technological properties

Samples	PDI	Di(50) (nm±SD)	Dn(50) (nm±SD)	Zeta pot (mv±SD)	mg/100 mg of apo	EE%
Apoferritin free/CNTR	0.29±0.02	40±0.5	12±0.5	-48±2		
ApoStrep 1:10-DG	0.30±0.02	25±2	10±0.5	-42±3	0.53±0.01	35±4
ApoStrep 1:25-DG	0.35±0.03	20±1	9±1	-41±4	1.07±0.01	28±1



Apo ferritin nanocage as streptomycin drug reservoir: technological optimization of a new drug delivery system

B. Ruozzi¹, P. Veratti¹, M.A. Vandelli¹, A. Tombesi², M. Tonelli², F. Forni¹, F. Pederzoli¹, D. Belletti¹, G. Tosi^{1*}

¹Department of Life Sciences, Via Campi 103, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, 41124 Modena-²CIGS, Centro Interdipartimentale Grandi Strumenti, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

*Corresponding author: Prof. Giovanni Tosi, Department of Life Sciences, phone: +390592058563, email: gtosi@unimore.it

Abstract

The aim of this study is to formulate and characterize streptomycin-loaded apoferritin nanoparticles (**ApoStrep NPs**) for their potential therapeutic use in bacterial resistant infections (i.e. tuberculosis). **ApoStrep NPs** were prepared by disassembly/reassembly process via pH method and changing apoferritin/drug molar ratio, purified by dialyses process also associated with gel filtration chromatography and characterized in their chemico-physical and technological parameters as yield, size distribution, polydispersity, morphology, internal structure, zeta potential and loading efficacy. The results showed that spherical reproducible NPs could be obtained by using apoferritin/drug molar ratio lower than 1:25 and purification based on the combination of dialysis and gel filtration chromatography. Photon correlation spectroscopy, Uv-visible detection and electron microscopy showed the maintenance of the native apoferritin chemico-physical properties and structure. When formulated with apoferritin/drug 1:10 and 1:25 molar ratio, **ApoStrep NPs** showed remarkable encapsulation efficacy (35% and 28%, respectively) **along with kinetic profile of drug delivery, approximately 15% at 37 °C in 72h, as evidenced by “in vitro” release experiments.**

Introduction

Streptomycin is a broad spectrum aminoglycoside antibiotic typically used for the treatment of active tuberculosis, in combination with other antituberculosis agents. It is composed of a metabolic product of *Streptomyces globisporus* or another similar organism (Zumla *et al.*, 2013). The major disadvantages of streptomycin consists of the inadequate penetration into the cells (due to its hydrophilicity) and rapid elimination from the blood stream (due to both efficient renal filtration and low level of association to plasma proteins) (Coessens *et al.*, 1996). Moreover, different evidences describe a rapid bacterial resistance occurring after Streptomycin treatment (75% of bacterial infectious including tuberculosis after one-four months of treatment). In most cases, this resistance has proven to be permanent. The importance of this problem is obvious, since further treatment with streptomycin were shown to be ineffective when the infecting organisms are drug-resistant (Pfuetze 1948). Above the development of novel antituberculosis drugs, the creation of nanocarriers able to modulate drug delivery could be interestingly applied on drug-resistant epidemic. In fact, loading currently-used antituberculosis drugs in nanocarriers-based formulations may shorten drug regimen duration, reduce frequency, and deliver medications more efficaciously. That way, a sustained release in both blood plasma as well as organ tissue, an increase in bioavailability, the reduction of patient default and the improvement of completion rates could be achieved. Taken together, all these advantages could **lead** to significant potential in the reduction of drug resistant tuberculosis cases (Smith 2011; Asadi 2014).

An exciting **improvement** in drug delivery systems is represented by the possibility to efficiently load drugs into apoferritin nanocage. Apoferritin is the demineralized ferritin, composed of 24 polypeptide subunits packed together to form a nanosphere of diameter ~ 12 nm with an internal cavity ~ 8 nm. This protein, as drug delivery system, was demonstrated to be a promising vehicle for targeted delivery of anticancer drugs (*Arosio et al., 1991, Belletti et al., 2016*). Generally, apoferritin may enhance drug selectivity for cell surfaces that express ferritin receptors, in particular ferritin-binding sites and endocytotic pathways, which are expressed in all body cells and mainly in cells in rapid proliferation (*Burdo and Connor, 2003; Aisen 1991*). Besides these aspects, apoferritin displays a number of advantages which could be exploited in the application toward drug-resistant tuberculosis epidemic. Ferritin is a major iron storage protein in humans; therefore its biocompatibility and biosafety are assured. Moreover, compared with other drug carriers (i.e. polymeric nanoparticles and liposomes), apoferritin is much smaller in size, thus leading to a longer circulation half-life. Despite the rigidity under physiological conditions, the ferritin nanocages can be broken down into subunits in acidic environment by a reversible process. In fact, when the pH is tuned back to neutral, the subunits are reconstituted into nanocage structures, almost in an intact manner (*Maham et al., 2009; Lin et al. 2011*). Another advantage of apoferritin consists of its chemical “reactivity” since, as polymeric nanoparticles or liposomes, its surface can be easily modified to selectively direct to target site (*Lin et al., 2011; Uchida et al., 2006; Dominguez-Vera 2004*).

Regarding the drug to be loaded into apoferritin, streptomycin (and particularly sulfate salt used in this research) possesses chemico-physical properties favorable for its location into the apoferritin nanocage, as its stability both in acids and in bases which is needed for formulative protocols requiring *extreme* pH values. Streptomycin is featured by a pKa of about 8 due to its secondary amino group and pKa ranging between 10 and 12 due to its two guanidine groups (*Nys et al., 1971*), providing a net positive charge both in physiological environment (pH 7) and during the formulation conditions (acidic pH), which favors electrostatic interaction with the apoferritin. Moreover, the MW (723 Da) is suitable for a stable internalization into protein cage avoiding drug loss across protein pores.

In this paper, starting from preformulative evaluation on several parameters involved in disassembly/reassembly protocols (protein concentration, volume of reaction, pH during the process, ionic concentration in solution, temperature, stirring), we aimed to optimize the technological conditions needed for stable formulation of streptomycin into apoferritin nanoparticles (**ApoStrep NPs**). Particularly, we focused on the impact of the molar ratio between apoferritin and drug (1:10, 1:25, 1:50) and the purification process (by comparing dialyses and gel filtration chromatography) on drug loading, without changing the native structure of **ApoStrep NPs**. Then, we tried to consolidate the possibility **of using** apoferritin as drug delivery systems, not only for anticancer drugs (*Belletti et al., 2016*), but also for other kinds of drugs and active molecules.

Materials and Methods

1. Chemicals

Apoferritin from equine spleen (solution ~ 40 mg/mL in 0.15 M sodium chloride) and streptomycin sulfate (MW= 728.69, water solubility =50 mg/mL) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Acetate cellulose dialysis membranes were purchased from CelluSepT1 (MWCO:3500, Membrane Filtration Products, Seguin, TX, USA). Sepharose CL-2B resin was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Micro BCA protein assay kit composed of reagent A (alkaline tartrate-carbonate buffer), reagent B (bicinchonic acid solution) and reagent C (copper sulfate solution) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc (Milan, Italy). All the solvents were of analytical grade; all other chemicals were commercially obtained and used without further purification. A MilliQ water system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), supplied with distilled water, provided high-purity water (18 M Ω).

2. The encapsulation of streptomycin in apoferritin via pH changing method

Streptomycin was formulated in apoferritin NPs by disassembly/reassembly protocols accordingly with others **adopted for different types of drugs** (Xing et al., 2009; Cutrin et al., 2013; Dominguez-Vera 2004; Blazkova et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2006; Kilic et al., 2012). For all preparations, apoferritin solution (15 mg/mL, 0.031 μ moles) was obtained by diluting apoferritin stock solution with water (370 μ L diluted to 1 mL). After gently pipetting, apoferritin solution, monitored in term of **size and surface charge (by means of PCS using a Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern UK as explained in paragraph 4a) and morphology (by means of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis as explained in paragraph 4b)**, was acidified to pH 2 (by addition of 800 μ L of 0.1 N HCl), thus providing the disassociation of the apoferritin subunits. The sample was mixed by using magnetic stirring for 20 min at 20°C. Then, different amounts of streptomycin sulfate (0.23, 0.57, 1.14 mg corresponding to 0.31, 0.78 and 1.56 μ mol, respectively) solubilized in water (200 μ L) and acidified to the pH 2 (addition of HCl 0.1 N) was added dropwise to the protein solution to finally have 1:10, 1:25, 1:50 apoferritin/drug molar ratios. As control sample, un-loaded apoferritin NPs were obtained following the same protocol previously exposed. Briefly, 200 μ L of water, previously acidified to pH 2 (**by addition of 0.1N HCl**), was added to apoferritin solution (pH 2), simulating the same increase in volume of the case of addition of drug solution.

In order to recover the native structure of the protein, the pH value of the solution was adjusted to pH 8 by adding NaOH 0.1 N (about 700 μ L, under magnetic stirring). The final volume was adjusted to 3 mL by adding water (basified to pH 8). The resulting solution was then stirred over 2 h at 25°C to allow the complete structural reassembly of the protein.

The following formulations were obtained: **CNTR** (unloaded), **ApoStrep 1:10**, **ApoStrep 1:25** and **ApoStrep 1:50** (streptomycin loaded NPs).

3. Purification of samples

3a. Dialysis procedure

A membrane diffusion method was used to purify free streptomycin from streptomycin loaded NPs. Each sample (1 mL of suspension) was placed in the dialysis tube (MWCO 3500 Da), permeable to drug alone, but not to NPs. Tube was closed at both ends using closure devices (Spectrum Medical Industries, Houston, TX, USA), and placed in a solution at pH 8.0 (50 mL, 0.05M KH_2PO_4), gently shaken with a magnetic stirrer (100 rpm at $20 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h). Free drug diffused out of samples and through the membrane to the receiver phase. After this time, volume of receiving phase was then adjusted to 1 mL by using rotatory evaporation, filtered by a acetate cellulose (AC) membrane with 0.2 μ m porosity (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany).

Samples purified by dialysis were recovered and named: **CNTR-D**, **ApoStrep 1:10-D**, **ApoStrep 1:25-D** and **ApoStrep 1:50-D**.

3b. Gel filtration chromatography

The apoferritin samples, recovered after dialysis purification and adjusted to 1 mL as final volume, was eluted through the Sepharose CL-2B resin (Sigma Aldrich), packed in 17.5 x 1.5 cm column, by using 0.05M KH_2PO_4 solution (pH 8.0) as mobile phase. The separation efficiency was achieved by working in "flash mode", exerting a pressure of about 9 bar by means of an N_2 flow. 40 fractions were collected, one of each minute starting from the 3rd min after deposition. Fractions were analyzed by UV spectrophotometry (Jasco V-530 UV-VIS Spectrophotometer, JASCO Europe, Cremella, Italy) by means of spectral scanning acquisition mode over the wavelength range 200-400 nm, with 5 min measurement intervals. The collected fractions containing apoferritin (identified by typical peak at 280 nm-UV absorbance) were submitted to test by PCS, which highlighted the elution of apoferritin NPs within the interval 8-15 min (data not shown). These fractions were mixed and the volume adjusted to 3 mL (by rotary evaporation). Purified Samples obtained were named **CTRL-DG**, **ApoStrep 1:10 DG**, **ApoStrep 1:25 DG** and **ApoStrep 1:50 DG**.

Fraction from 3 to 8 min containing aggregates were eliminated, while fractions from 16 to 43 min were mixed, concentrated in volume (1 mL) and then analyzed by HPLC to evaluate the presence of free streptomycin.

4. Chemico-physical characterization of apoferritin NPs

4a. Size, polydispersity and zeta potential

Polydispersity index (PDI) and size distribution were determined by PCS using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, UK; Laser 4 mW He–Ne, 633 nm, Laser attenuator Automatic, transmission 100–0.0003%, Detector Avalanche photodiode, Q.E. > 50% at 633 nm). The results were expressed both as intensity distribution, D(i), based on the scattered light intensity and as number distribution, D(n), based on the number of particles that peculiarly scattered light of all the particles. Both D(i) and D(n) identified the size below which is placed the 10% [D(10)], 50% [D(50)] and 90% [D(90)] of all the NPs. The zeta potential was measured using the same equipment with a combination of laser Doppler velocimetry and phase analysis light scattering (PALS). All the data are expressed as means of at least three determinations carried out for each preparation lot (three lots for each sample).

4b. Morphology and structure

The morphological and microstructural characterization of NPs was carried out through transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A drop of each sample (**CNTR-DG, ApoStrep 1:10 DG, ApoStrep 1:25 DG**) was placed on a 200-mesh copper grid (TABB Laboratories Equipment, Berks, UK) and allowed to adsorb. The excess suspension was removed by filter paper, sample dried and then NPs were imaged with TEM, operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV (model JEM 2010; JEOL, Peabody, MA). Structure of apoferritin forming the NPs (**CNTR-DG, ApoStrep 1:10 DG, ApoStrep 1:25 DG**) was also investigated by evaluating the UV–vis absorption spectra performed on a Jasco V-530 UV-VIS spectrometer supplied with Spectra Manager II software.

5. Apoferritin recovered after purification

BCA assays were performed to achieve apoferritin quantification in **CNTR-DG, ApoStrep 1:10 DG, ApoStrep 1:25 DG and ApoStrep 1:50 DG** samples. Assays were performed using 96-well microplates and Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Reagents A, B, C) (Rodano, Milano, Italia). Sample and reagent preparations were executed as indicated by manufacturing instructions. Briefly, in each well, an exact amount (150 µL) of samples (**CNTR-DG, ApoStrep 1:10 DG, ApoStrep 1:25 DG or ApoStrep 1:50 DG**) previously diluted 1:25 with 0.05M KH₂PO₄ solution (pH 8) or standard solutions (15 mg/mL of apoferritin solution) was added to the reagent mix (150 µL). Microplate was stored at 37°C for 2 h to allow the bicinchoninic acid/Cu²⁺ reaction with proteins, then the absorbance of the reaction product for the colorimetric quantification of proteins was measured at 570 nm, subtracting the absorbance reading of the blank by means of Microplate Reader Multiscan (Spectrum Finstruments®). The concentration was calculated using the calibration curve previously set up (linearity was assumed in the range of 0.46–50 µg/mL).

Apoferritin recovered after purification process/dialyses and gel filtration chromatography (ApoYield [%]) is represented by the percent weight fraction of apoferritin evaluated by BCA assay compared with the total weight of apoferritin used for the preparation (Apo).

The yield [%] was calculated as follows:

$$\text{ApoYield [\%]} = \frac{\text{Apo from BCA test} \times 100}{(\text{Apo})}$$

6. Technological characterization

6a. Encapsulation efficiency

The encapsulation efficiency of streptomycin into apoferritin NPs was calculated by indirect evaluation by HPLC analysis of the drug diffused through the dialysis membrane or eluted by gel filtration chromatography. The HPLC apparatus (JASCO Europe) used for this analysis is composed of a Model PU2089 pump provided with an injection valve with a 100 μ L sample loop (Model 7725i, Jasco) and the UV/VIS detector (Jasco UV-975 Intelligent UV-VIS Detector). The analysis was performed in isocratic conditions, at a flow rate of 1 mL/min and at 30°C by reverse-phase chromatography (RP/HPLC) on C18 Acclaim Polar Advantage II column (150x46 mm, pore size 5 μ m, Agilent Technologies) equipped with a security guard. The mobile phase was KH₂PO₄ solution (pH 8.5, 0.05M). Chromatographic peak area of the samples were recorded at 200 nm and analyzed using a JASCO software (JascoBorwin 1.5). The concentration was calculated using the calibration curve previously set up (linearity was assumed in the range of 0.5-83 μ g/mL). The amount of streptomycin loaded into NPs was evaluated by difference between the amount of total drug used and drug excluded by NPs. Then, the percentages of encapsulation efficiency (EE) was calculated using the following equations:

$$\text{Encapsulation Efficiency (EE\%)} = \left[\frac{\text{drug loaded into apoferritin NPs}}{\text{total amount of drug used to formulate}} \right] \times 100.$$

All the data are expressed as the means of at least three determinations.

6b. In vitro release studies

A membrane diffusion method was also used to evaluate the *in vitro* release studies of drug from apoferritin NPs. Briefly, 1 mL of **Streptomycin** (0.05mg/mL) or streptomycin loaded NPs was placed into the dialysis tube (CelluSep, MWCO 3500). Dialysis tube was closed and placed in water (10 mL) and gently shaken with a magnetical stirrer in water (100 rpm at 37°C \pm 0.1 °C). The drug diffused out of samples and through the membrane to the receiver phase. At defined time intervals (1, 3, 6, 24, 48 and 72 h), a fixed volume (1 mL) of the receiving phase was withdrawn, the volume reduced at 100 μ L by evaporation and analyzed by HPLC for the drug content. The receiving phase tested was then replaced into the receiving medium to keep constant the final volume. The release study was carried out in triplicate for each sample.

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as averages with standard deviations (SD). Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism™. Data were assessed using either one-way or two-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni post hoc test. The significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

Streptomycin encapsulation into the apoferritin nanocage

Figure 1. A) Schematic illustration of the encapsulation of streptomycin into apoferritin nanocage by disassembly/reassembly process via “pH changing method”. Turbidity observed from pH 4 to 8 is possible due to a) protein precipitation (isoelectric point at pH 4.5); b) protein aggregation induced by multivalent cations as streptomycin sulphate at pH 7.4; c) drug that could impact on the correct protein reassembling.

A schematic representation of streptomycin encapsulation into the apoferritin is shown in **Figure 1**. In order to entrap the drug molecules into the apoferritin cage, the protein was disassembled and reassembled into the nanostructure via a “pH-changing method” as described in methods. The experimental conditions and parameters (buffer composition, concentration, volume of reaction, time of stirring, final pH) were chosen on the basis of both preliminar investigations on disassembly/reassembly process of apoferritin alone and on the peculiar properties of drug (i.e. stability in the range pH 3-8 at room temperature). In detail, apoferritin and streptomycin were dissolved in MilliQ water and acidified with a HCl 0.1 N, not observing turbidity nor precipitation. During the reassembly process, by adding NaOH 0.1 N, once over the isoelectric point of the protein (pH 4.5), apoferritin precipitation took place, with difficult recovery of the monomeric form. This phenomenon was detected in the presence of high drug concentrations and probably **due to** the strong electrostatic attraction between positive charges of streptomycin and negative charges of the protein over its isoelectric point.

Multivalent cations, such as streptomycin, could act as a bridge with protein molecules, thus reducing intermolecular repulsion and maybe causing aggregation (*Weisenberg and Timasheff, 1970*). Alternatively, this bridge streptomycin-apoferritin may also induce incorrect interaction between protein subunits leading to wrong or incomplete reassembly (**Figure 1**).

In order to reduce this protein instability, reassembly process must be continued until pH 8.0, therefore not stopping basification at pH 7.4. At this pH value, the drug loses one of its positive charges, with consequent reduction of its pro-aggregating effect, even maintaining stability (*Oswald and Nielsen, 1947*).

Remarkably, this reassembling protocol was effective in avoiding protein precipitation only using protein/drug molar ratio lower than 1:50 ratio, while it was poorly efficacious doubling the amount of drug (data not shown).

The polydispersity index (PDI) of samples after disassembly/reassembly of apoferritin with drug at different molar ratio (**ApoStrep 1:10**, **ApoStrep 1:25** and **ApoStrep 1:50**) is reported in **Figure 2**. PDI values bigger than 0.3 suggest poor uniformity of all samples before purification process. Already disassembling/reassembling process of apoferritin carried out without drug seems to produce a sample (**CNTR**) with a multimodal distribution, presumably formed by monomers and aggregates.

The heterogeneity of samples proportionally increased with the increase of streptomycin concentrations used in formulation. Dialysis process, which removes the excess of drug in solution, lead to the stabilization complexes (**ApoStrep 1:10-D**, **ApoStrep 1:25-D**) with PDI values similar to apoferritin alone ones (of about 0.4). On the contrary, dialysis process was ineffective on **ApoStrep 1:50-D**, which tended to aggregate with an increase in PDI value up to 0.8. In order to more efficiently remove aggregates, all samples underwent to a double purification process by combining dialyses with gel filtration. For all samples (**ApoStrep 1:10-DG**, **ApoStrep 1:25-DG**, **ApoStrep 1:50-DG**) PDI values decreased down to 0.3-0.35, independently from the protein:drug molar ratio used.

Figure 2. PDI values of apoferritin NPs (**CNTR**, **ApoStrep 1:10**, **ApoStrep 1:25**, **ApoStrep 1:50**) after
◆ formulation, □ dialysis process (D) and ● combining dialysis and gel filtration chromatography.

Figure 3. Dimensional characterization of ApoStrep NPs. D(i) (panels a, c and e) and D(n) (panels b, d and f) of samples after formulation (a and b), dialysis (c and d), and combining dialysis and gel filtration chromatography (e and f). Statistical analyses were performed: *p < 0.05 vs CNTR.

As suggested by PDI values, **ApoStrep NPs** can be described by a multimodal distribution, with the presence of apoferritin NPs and aggregates. To describe the sample, both Di and Dn were analyzed. Di values, referred to scattering intensity, nicely identify the presence of aggregate since large particles

scatter much more light than small particles. On the contrary, Dn values clearly indicate the numerical distribution of the populations into the samples and therefore the most representative NPs population within the sample (organization of monomeric form of protein).

During the reassembly process, even in absence of drug (**CNTR**, **Figure 3a**), the sample resulted composed by protein nanocage (correct reassembly, $Di(50) = 57 \pm 17$ nm) mixed with protein aggregates (uncorrect reassembly, $Di(90) = 305 \pm 46$ nm).

$Di(50)$ values of ApoStrep samples (**ApoStrep 1:10**, **ApoStrep 1:25** and **ApoStrep 1:50**) proportionally increased in line with the increase of Streptomycin in solution, suggesting that a limit in drug concentration is present in order to preserve the integrity of apoferritin. The formulation obtained with 1:10 protein/drug molar ratio (**ApoStrep 1:10**) showed a $Di(50)$ of 53 ± 15 nm similar to the **CNTR** (without drug, $Di(50) = 57 \pm 17$ nm), while already 1:25 protein/drug molar ratio formulation (**ApoStrep 1:25**) slightly start aggregating ($Di(50) = 100 \pm 20$ nm). This aggregation effect becomes significant in 1:50 protein/drug molar ratio (**ApoStrep 1:50**), with an increase of $Di(50)$ at 220 ± 60 nm value (**Figure 3a**).

Similarly, high values of $Di90$ and large S.D., representative of the presence of aggregates in suspension, slightly increased with the increasing of the drug into the formulation becoming higher than 1 μ M and less reproducible at 1:50 protein/drug ratio.

The simple incubation of drug and apoferritin at pH 8 is not able to induce aggregation (data not shown), confirming that the disassembly/reassembly process is responsible of the protein destabilization in presence of the drug.

Dn values (**Figure 3b**), which are more representative of monomeric population of protein, are nicely in agreement with Di values. Thus, protein aggregation was detected only in presence of protein/drug 1:50 molar ratio (**ApoStrep 1:50**), while no significant differences in Dn values were observed for 1:10 (**ApoStrep 1:10**) and 1:25 (**ApoStrep 1:25**) protein/drug molar ratios with respect to the control preparation (**CNTR**), demonstrating structural stability of the formulated apoferritin.

Purification of the sample from the excess of unloaded streptomycin lead to reduction of aggregation, as highlighted by Di values decrease (**Figure 3c**). No substantial differences in terms of Dn values were observed after purification by dialysis of the samples 1:10 and 1:25 molar ratio between protein and drug (**ApoStrep 1:10-D**, **ApoStrep 1:25-D**), indicating that size distribution of the re-organized protein nanostructure is poorly affected by the removal of the excess of drug or protein aggregates (**Figure 3d**). Differently, the formulation obtained by using drug in large excess (**ApoStrep 1:50-D**) was not efficiently purified, maintaining a wide dimensional range of structures wrongly re-organized after loading/reassembly process.

The removal of aggregates is obtained by coupling gel filtration chromatography to dialysis process. As evident in **Figure 3e**, for all the samples tested, the values of Di indicate a monomodal size distribution, referred to monomeric and monodisperse form of apoferritin nanostructure. Particularly, $Di(50)$ values for the samples 1:10 and 1:25 molar ratio between protein and drug (**ApoStrep 1:10-DG**, **ApoStrep 1:25-DG**) begin to correlate with Dn values reaching under 30 nm.

Figure 4 shows zeta potential values of apoferritin NPs obtained with different protein/drug molar ratio before and after the purification process. Regarding control sample (**CNTR**), high negative values of zeta potential on the surface on apoferritin (-50 ± 6 mV) confirmed high stability before and after the purification process. Zeta potential values significantly changed after the encapsulation process becoming less negative for all the molar ratio of tested complexes (from -20 to -37 mV) if compared to apoferritin alone. This fact is probably due to a relevant absorption of streptomycin on NPs surface. This data was confirmed by the restoring of more negative zeta values (ranging from -38 mV and -55 mV) after removal of excess of drug molecules by dialysis. The combination of dialysis and gel filtration chromatography did not change those negative values observed after the purification with dialysis process alone.

Figure 4. Zeta potential of apoferritin NPs (**CNTR**, **ApoStrep 1:10**, **ApoStrep 1:25**, **ApoStrep 1:50**) after
◆ formulation, □ dialysis process (D) and ● combining dialysis and gel filtration chromatography.

As previously exposed, PCS analysis showed several concerns on formulations prepared with 1:50 apoferritin/drug molar ratio, strongly affected by the presence of aggregates. The amount of protein evaluated by BCA assay in apoferritin NPs fraction eluted from column by gel filtration chromatography confirms the suitability of this sample. In fact, apoferritin in **ApoStrep 1:50-DG** sample was only around 20% of Apo ferritin used in formulation, while apoferritin recovered in **ApoStrep 1:10-DG** and **ApoStrep 1:25-DG** was higher and close to 70% for both samples (Table II). Therefore, **ApoStrep 1:50-DG** was excluded from the subsequent experiments.

Table II: concentration of Apoferritin and Streptomycin. For Streptomycin content, please see Table I.

In order to examine whether the structure of apoferritin changed after the encapsulation of streptomycin (Xing et al., 2009), we performed and compared the UV spectra of the **CNTR-DG** with **ApoStrep1:10-DG** and **ApoStrep1:25-DG** (Figure 5). Apoferritin alone and ApoStrep NPs exhibited similar spectral features with their characteristic absorption (close to 280 nm). This absorption arises from the amino acid residues with aromatic rings in apoferritin and its intensity linearly correlates with the concentration of protein (Stoscheck 1990). The results suggested that apoferritin drug complexes displayed structures similar to apoferritin alone and that encapsulation of streptomycin in the protein cavity barely affects the surface nature of apoferritin.

Figure 5. UV spectra of CNTR-DG vs ApoStrep1:10-DG and ApoStrep1:25-DG

The assembly state of the **ApoStrep NPs** was investigated using TEM analyses. TEM images revealed that disassembly/reassembly process, carried out both with or without drug, produces constructs that retain the native ability to adopt a spherical cage-like structure. As shown in Figure 6a, related to sample **CNTR-DG** (obtained without drug and purified by dialyses and gel filtration chromatography), the absence of electron-spot in correspondence of the central cavity of the particles, typical of TEM analysis of ferritin (Masover 1993), and the elemental analysis performed on the samples demonstrates that the protein is free of iron normally located in its internal cavity, making the core available for a subsequent load of drugs. A much more contrasting corona that surrounds the protein may in fact identify the densest area of the protein wall, while the lighter interior area could indicate its cavity. No differences both in morphology and size were observed between **CNTR-DG** and streptomycin loaded samples by using TEM experiments (Figure 6c and d, as example we report only **ApoStrep 1:25-DG**).

Figure 6. TEM analysis of apoferritin NPs a) images of CNTR-DG, b) EDX of CNTR-DG, c) images of ApoStrep 1:25-DG, d) magnification of ApoStrep 1:25-DG.

Encapsulation Efficiency and in vitro drug release

The quantification of the encapsulated streptomycin was performed by indirect evaluation of the unloaded drug, separated from ApoStrep NPs both after dialysis process and the combination of dialysis and gel filtration chromatography. Results are reported in Table 1. The amount of drug encapsulated in 100 mg of apoferritin is around 0.6 mg and 1.3 mg for **ApoStrep1:10-D** and **ApoStrep 1:25-D**, respectively. Combining gel filtration chromatography, the amount of drug stably encapsulated slightly decreased to 0.5 mg and 1.0 mg, respectively. The encapsulation efficiency ranged from 30 to 40% in **ApoStrep1:10-DG**, while it is closed to 30% in **ApoStrep1:25-DG**, therefore confirming that the dialysis process is yet efficacious to purify the samples.

Moreover, apoferritin exhibited a good control on streptomycin release; in fact, independently of samples tested, only 15% of encapsulated drug was released from protein nanocage over three days at 37°C, demonstrating higher stability of formulate products (Figure 7).

Figura 7. Drug release from apoferritin NPs. Values represent the mean of at least 3 experiments. Standard deviation is reported in brackets.

Conclusion

Apo ferritin is one of the most investigated classes of proteins applicable in drug delivery. Notwithstanding, **only few studies investigated the ability of this nanocarrier in loading drugs of different activity than anticancer agents.** In this paper, we demonstrated that the interaction between streptomycin sulfate and apoferritin obtained by changing pH values of preparation environment can **lead** to the formation of possible biocompatible drug delivery systems for the **drug release of antimicrobial drugs as Streptomycin.** The chemico-physical and technological characterization of ApoStrep NPs provided information about the operative parameters that should be set to stabilize and to modulate drug release, demonstrating that an usefull reassembly of apoferritin is greatly dependent both on the pH and the concentration of drug in solution. Only combining dialyses and gel filtration chromatography ApoStrep NPs were efficiently purified from un-encapsulated drug and let free from aggregate; the majority of the entrapped drug molecules remained within cage (even with the stress of these purification procedures) with a good control on drug released. These preliminary data can be used for the design of new antrimicrobial delivery systems and can be also taken into account for the prediction of drug interactions within pharmaceutical formulations.

Application of Apoferritin nanocages

Despite extensive promises, much work is needed before clinical translation of APO as nanocages. As example, the study of the architecture and the structure of loaded APO are still at early stages and this situation represents the major limitation in translatability of APO to become DDS. This fact is principally due to unclear protocols for APO formulation and poor chemico-physical, morphological and technological characterization, frequently generating conflicting outputs. Regarding Streptomycin application, the dose normally used in therapy is very high, and it would be impossible to reach such doses with APO nanocages strategy. On the other hand, these systems are not designed for this scope. In fact, the possibility of exploiting nanocages able to be “safe” when circulating in the bloodstream, to slowly release the loaded drug and in a controlled manner and, most of all, to be engineered for a selective delivery should be considered as an added value and the real potential of these systems. In this work, we also tried to answer to the general lack of characterization of these systems, as we firstly analyzed the possibility of formulating an active agent different from the “commonly used” agents for loading into APO (namely anticancer drugs); then, we moved on analyzing the nature and narrow size of APO complex using analytical technique commonly used to characterize nanomedicine as liposomes or nanoparticles (TEM and PCS) and applying these technologies to APO cages characterization. Aiming to improve the chances of translatability of APO as drug delivery systems, another point, which is very hard to be investigated, is related to the drug release and in particular to the changes in morphology and architecture of APO cage during and after the release of the drugs. To our knowledge, the technologies for this kind of characterization are still lacking, even if this focus strongly affects the biocompatibility of APO and the efficacy of treatment promoted by the released drug. A huge work should therefore be done to better investigate on these aspects, that need to be considered with a major interest.

References

- Zumla, A., Nahid, P., Cole, S.T., 2013. Advances in the development of new tuberculosis drugs and treatment regimens. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 12, 388-404.
- Coessens, V., Schacht, E., Domurado, D., 1996. Synthesis of polyglutamine and dextran conjugates of streptomycin with an acidsensitive drug- carrier linkage. *J Control Release* 38, 141–150.
- Pfuetze, K.H., Ashe, W.M., 1948. Present Status of Streptomycin In Tuberculosis. *Chest.* 14, 446-455.
- Smith, J.P., 2011. Nanoparticle Delivery of Anti-Tuberculosis Chemotherapy as a Potential Mediator Against Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis. *Yale J Biol Med* . 84, 361-369.
- Asadi, A., 2014. Streptomycin-loaded PLGA-alginate nanoparticles: preparation, characterization, and assessment. *Appl Nanosci.* 4, 455–460.
- Arosio, P., Levi, S., Santambrogio, P., Cozzi, A., Luzzago, A., Cesareni, G., Albertini, A., 1991. Structural and functional studies of human ferritin H and L chains. *Curr Stud Hematol Blood Transfus.* 58, 127 -31.
- Burdo, J. R., Connor, J. R., 2003. Brain iron uptake and homeostatic mechanisms: An overview. *BioMetals* 16, 63-75.
- Aisen, P. , 1991. Ferritin receptors and the role of ferritin in iron transport. *Targeted Diagn Ther.*4, 339-54
- Maham, A., Tang, Z., Wu, H., Wang, J., Lin, Y., 2009. Protein-Based Nanomedicine Platforms for Drug Delivery. *Small* 5, 1706–1721.
- Lin, X., Xie, J., Niu, G., Zhang, F., Gao, H., Yang, M., Quan, Q., Aronova, M.A., Zhang, G., Lee, S., , Leapman, R., Chen, X., 2011. Chimeric Ferritin Nanocages for Multiple Function Loading and Multimodal Imaging. *Nano. Lett.* 11, 814–819.
- Lin, X., Xie, J., Zhu, L., Lee, S., Niu, G., Ma, Y., Kim, K., Chen, X., 2011. Hybrid Ferritin Nanoparticles as Activatable Probes for Tumor Imaging. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 50, 1569–1572.
- Uchida, M., Flenniken, M.L., Allen, M., Willits, D.A., Crowley, B.E., Brumfield, S., Willis, A.F., Jackiw, L., Jutila, M., Young, M.J., Douglas, T., 2006. Targeting of cancer cells with ferrimagnetic ferritin cage nanoparticles. *J Am Chem Soc.* 128:16626–16633.
- Dominguez-Vera, J.M., 2004. Iron(III) Complexation of Desferrioxamine B Encapsulated in Apoferritin. *J Inorg Biochem.* 98, 469–472.

Nys, P.S., Savitskaya, E.M., Kolygina, T.S., 1971. Determination of ionization constants of streptomycin by the indicator method. *Pharm Chem J* . 5, 576–579.

Belletti, D., Pederzoli, F., Forni, F., Vandelli, M.A., Tosi, G., Ruozzi, B., 2017. Protein cage nanostructure as drug delivery system: magnifying glass on apoferritin, *Expert Opin Drug Deliv.*, Epub ahead of print, doi: 10.1080/17425247.2017.1243528.

Xing, R., Wang, X., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Q., Yang, Z., Guo, Z., 2009. Characterization and cellular uptake of platinum anticancer drugs encapsulated in apoferritin. *J Inorg Biochem.* 103, 1039-44.

Cutrin, J.C., Crich, S.G., Burghilea, D., Dastrù, W., Aime, S., 2013. Curcumin/Gd Loaded Apoferritin: A Novel “Theranostic” Agent To Prevent Hepatocellular Damage in Toxic Induced Acute Hepatitis. *Mol Pharm.* 10, 2079–2085.

Blazkova, I., Nguyen, H.V., Dostalova, S., Kopel, P., Stanisavljevic, M., Vaculovicova, M., Stiborova, M., Eckschlager, T., Kizek, R., Adam, V., 2013. Apoferritin Modified Magnetic Particles as Doxorubicin Carriers for Anticancer Drug Delivery. *Int J Mol Sci.* 14, 13391-13402.

Liu, G., Wang, J., Wu, H., Lin, Y., 2006. Versatile apoferritin nanoparticle labels for assay of protein. *Anal Chem.* 78, 7417-23.

Kilic, M.A., Ozlu, E., Calis, S., 2012. A novel protein-based anticancer drug encapsulating nanosphere: apoferritin-doxorubicin complex.. *J Biomed Nanotechnol.* 8, 508-14.

Xing, R., Wang, X., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Q., Yang, Z., Guo, Z., 2009. Characterization and cellular uptake of platinum anticancer drugs encapsulated in apoferritin. *J Inorg Biochem.* 103, 1039-44.

Stoscheck, C.M., 1990. Quantitation of protein. *Methods Enzymol.* 182, 50–68

Oswald, E.J., Nielsen, J.K., 1947. Studies on the Stability of Streptomycin in Solution. *Science* 105,184-5

Weisenberg, R.C., Timasheff, S.N., 1970. Aggregation of microtubule subunit protein. Effects of divalent cations, colchicine, and vinblastine. *Biochemistry* 9, 4110–4116.

Massover, W.H., 1993. Ultrastructure of ferritin and apoferritin: A review. *Micron* 24, 389-437.

Table 1: loading capacity and encapsulation efficiency (EE) of ApoStrep D and ApoStrep DG samples

Samples	mg Strep/100 mg of Apo	EE%
ApoStrep 1:10-D	0.67±0.01	44±5
ApoStrep 1:10-DG	0.53±0.01	35±4
ApoStrep 1:25-D	1.27±0.02	33±3
ApoStrep 1:25-DG	1.07±0.01	28±1

Table II

Sample Name	Streptomycin concentration (mg/mL)	Apoferitin amount (mg/mL)
Streptomycin free solution	0.05mg/mL	\
Apoferitin solution	\	15 mg/mL
ApoStrep 1:10-DG	See table I	10,3 (± 0,2) mg/mL
ApoStrep 1:25-DG	See table I	10,1 (± 0,3) mg/mL
ApoStrep 1:50-DG	See table I	2,9 (± 0,2) mg/mL

Table II: concentration of Apoferitin and Streptomycin. For Streptomycin content, please see Table I.

Figure 1




Figure 1. A) Schematic illustration of the encapsulation of streptomycin into apoferritin nanocage by disassembly/reassembly process via "pH changing method". Turbidity observed from pH 4 to 8 is possible due to a) protein precipitation (isoelectric point at pH 4.5); b) protein aggregation induced by multivalent cations as streptomycin sulfate at pH 7.4; c) drug that could impact on the correct protein reassembling.

Figure 2




Figure 2. PDI values of apoferritin NPs (CNTR, ApoStrep 1:10, ApoStrep 1:25, ApoStrep 1:50) after formulation, □ dialysis process (D) and ● combining dialysis and gel filtration chromatography.

Figure 3




Figure 3. Dimensional characterization of ApoStrep NPs. Di (panels a, c and e) and Dn (panels b, d and f) of samples after formulation (a and b), dialysis (c and d), and combining dialysis and gel filtration chromatography (e and f). ■ D(10) ▨ D(50) □ D(90). Data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA coupled with Bonferroni post hoc test. All values are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 was the required statistical significance (vs CNTR).

Figure 4




Figure 4. Zeta potential of apoferritin NPs (CNTR, ApoStrep 1:10, ApoStrep 1:25, ApoStrep 1:50) after
◆ formulation, □ dialysis process (D) and ● combining dialysis and gel filtration chromatography.
Data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA coupled with Bonferroni post hoc test. All values are expressed
as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 was the required statistical significance (vs “none”)

Figure 5




Figure 5. UV spectra of CNTR-DG vs ApoStrep1:10-DG and ApoStrep1:25-DG

Figure 6




Figure 6. TEM analysis of apoferritin NPs a) Images of CNTR-DG, b) EDX of CNTR-DG, c) images of ApoStrep 1:25-DG, d) magnification of ApoStrep 1:25-DG.

Figure 7




Figura 7. Drug released from apoferritin NPs. Values represent the mean of at least 3 experiments. Standard deviation is reported in brackets.